

REMARKS

This response is being submitted after a telephonic interview with the Examiner. In the telephonic interview, the Applicant and the Examiner discussed claim 1-5 and 11-19. Claim amendments were not discussed, however, the submission of the declaration under Rule 132 was discussed. Such a declaration accompanies this response.


In this response, claim 13 has been amended to include the limitation recited in previously presented claim 18. Furthermore, a Rule 132 Declaration also accompanies this response. The Rule 132 Declaration is being provided even though Applicant disagrees with the Examiner's obviousness rejections. The submission of the Declaration is not an admission as to the propriety of the Examiner's rejection.

The claim amendment and the Rule 132 response are believed to place the application in condition for allowance. Should the Examiner disagree, he is encouraged to telephone the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

FAY, SHARPE, FAGAN,
MINNICH & McKEE, LLP

November 3, 2005
Date



Scott A. McCollister, Reg. No. 33,961
Jonathan A. Withrow, Reg. No. 54,548
1100 Superior Avenue, Seventh Floor
Cleveland, OH 44114-2579
216-861-5582

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.8, I certify that this Amendment is being

- ☒ deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class mail, addressed to: MAIL STOP AFTER FINAL, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date indicated below.
- ☐ transmitted via facsimile in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.8 on the date indicated below.
- ☐ deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 C.F.R. 1.10, addressed to: MAIL STOP AMENDMENT, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date indicated below.

Express Mail Label No.:	Signature
Date	Printed Name
November 3, 2005	Krisey E. Riley

N:\MLCZ\200106\ker0000320V001.doc